Precise rubric for portfolio proofs 3 0 Correct Correct approach with The argument is approach with Incorrect Argument significant correct minor errors or approach errors or omissions omissions Argument can Some part of be followed but Argument is the argument is links are often Very difficult or clear and Clarity unclear or some unclear or impossible to variables are variables are variables are follow argument well defined poorly defined often poorly defined Some writing is not clear or All writing is some clear and words/symbols Readable only Mechanics words/symbols Unreadable with effort are used are used incorrectly, but correctly the proof is still easily readable

NOTES: The three areas of evaluation are obviously linked, but they will be evaluated separately to the extent that this is possible. **Argument** involves evaluating the logic behind the proof, i.e. the truth of the assertions made in the proof. **Clarity** involves evaluating the presentation of the argument. Putting aside the truth of the assertions, are the connections between the assertions obvious? Are the variables well defined? Are theorems and definitions cited appropriately? **Mechanics** involves the use of language, symbols, and diagrams. Issues with using LATEX will also show up in this area.

Rough rubric for everyday use 0 5 4 Correct Correct Correct approach with approach with Incorrect approach but The argument is minor errors or minor errors or approach or No readable correct and with major omissions or omissions and very difficult to work present clear errors or some lack of serious lack of follow argument omissions clarity clarity