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## Capacitors and Inductors

- intuition: bucket of charge

$$
q=C v \rightarrow i=C \frac{d v}{d t}
$$

- Resist change of voltage
- DC open circuit
- Store voltage (charge)
- Energy stored $=0.5 \mathrm{C} \mathrm{v}(\mathrm{t})^{2}$

- intuition: water hose

$$
\lambda=L i \rightarrow v=L \frac{d i}{d t}
$$

- Resist change of current
- DC short circuit
- Store current (magnetic flux)
- Energy stored $=0.5 \mathrm{Li}(\mathrm{t})^{2}$



## Characterization of an LTI system's behavior

- Techniques commonly used to characterize an LTI system:

1. Observe the response of the system when excited by a step input (time domain response)
Assumption:
$x_{\text {in }}(t)$ is causal (i.e. $x(t)=0$ for $\left.t<0\right)$


$$
x_{o u t}=\int_{0-}^{t} x_{i n}(\tau) h(t-\tau) d \tau \equiv x_{i n}(t)^{*} h(t)
$$

1. Observe the response of the system when excited by sinusoidal inputs (frequency response)

$$
X_{\text {out }}(s)=X_{\text {in }}(s) \cdot H(s)
$$

## Frequency Response

- The merit of frequency-domain analysis is that it is easier than time domain analysis:

$$
L[x(t)]=\int_{0-}^{\infty} e^{-s t} x(t) d t=X(s) \quad \longleftarrow \quad \begin{aligned}
& \text { One sided Laplace Transform } \\
& \text { (assumption: } x(\mathrm{t}) \text { is causal or is made } \\
& \text { causal by multiplying it by } \mathrm{u}(\mathrm{t}) \text { ) }
\end{aligned}
$$

- The transfer function of any of the LTI circuits we consider
- Are rational with $m \leq n$
- Are real valued coefficients $a_{j}$ and $b_{i}$
- Have poles and zeros that are either real or complex conjugated
- Furthermore, if the system is stable
- All denominator coefficients are positive
- The real part of all poles are negative

$$
\begin{aligned}
& H(s)=\frac{a_{0}+a_{1} s+\ldots+a_{m} s^{m}}{1+b_{1} s+\ldots+b_{n} s^{n}}=K \frac{\left(s+\omega_{z 1}\right) \ldots\left(s+\omega_{z m}\right)}{\left(s+\omega_{p 1}\right) \ldots\left(s+\omega_{p n}\right)}= \\
& =K \frac{\left(s-z_{1}\right) \ldots\left(s-z_{m}\right)}{\left(s-p_{1}\right) \ldots\left(s-p_{n}\right)} \text { with } K \equiv \frac{a_{m}}{b_{n}} \longleftarrow \quad \begin{array}{l}
\text { root form } \\
\text { "mathematicians" style }
\end{array}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Frequency Response

NOTE :

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
p_{i}=-\omega_{p i} & (\text { poles }) \\
z_{i}=-\omega_{z i} & (\text { zeros })
\end{array}
$$



## Magnitude and Phase (1)

- When an LTI system is exited with a sinusoid the output is a sinusoid of the same frequency. The magnitude of the output is equal to the input magnitude multiplied by the magnitude response $\left(\left|\mathrm{H}\left(\mathrm{j} \omega_{\mathrm{in}}\right)\right|\right)$. The phase difference between the output and input sinusoid is equal to the phase response ( $\phi=$ phase $\left[H\left(j \omega_{\text {in }}\right)\right]$ )

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \begin{aligned}
x_{\text {in }}(t)=A_{\text {in }} & \cos (\omega t)=A_{\text {in }} \frac{e^{j \omega \mathrm{t}}+e^{-j \omega \mathrm{t}}}{2} \\
& \uparrow \mathcal{F} \\
X_{\text {in }}(j \omega) & \\
& F\left[x_{\text {in }}(t)\right] \quad \text { unit circle axis }
\end{aligned} \\
& H(j \omega)=|H(j \omega)| e^{j \omega t_{0}} \\
& \cos \theta=\frac{e^{j \theta}+e^{-j \theta}}{2} \\
& X_{\text {out }}(j \omega)=X_{\text {in }}(j \omega) \cdot H(j \omega)
\end{aligned}
$$

## Magnitude and Phase (2)

$$
\begin{gathered}
X_{\text {out }}(j \omega)=X_{\text {in }}(j \omega) \cdot H(j \omega)=X_{\text {in }}(j \omega) \cdot|H(j \omega)| \cdot e^{j \omega t_{0}} \\
\mathcal{F}^{-1} \uparrow \begin{array}{l}
\text { Time Shift Property: } \\
\mathcal{F}\left[\mathrm{x}\left(\mathrm{t}-\mathrm{t}_{0}\right)\right]=\mathrm{X}(\mathrm{f}) \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{j} 2 \pi \mathrm{rtt} 0}
\end{array} \\
x_{\text {out }}(t)=\left|H\left(j \omega_{\text {in }}\right)\right| x_{\text {in }}\left(t+t_{0}\right)= \\
=A_{\text {in }}\left|H\left(j \omega_{\text {in }}\right)\right| \cos \left[\omega\left(t+t_{0}\right)\right]= \\
=A_{\text {in }}\left|H\left(j \omega_{\text {in }}\right)\right| \cos \left(\omega t+\omega t_{0}\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

## First order circuits

- A first order transfer function has a first order denominator

$$
\begin{aligned}
& H(s)=\frac{A_{0}}{1+\frac{s}{\omega_{p}}} \\
& H(s)=A_{0} \frac{1+\frac{s}{\omega_{z}}}{1+\frac{s}{\omega_{p}}}
\end{aligned}
$$

First order low pass transfer function.
This is the most commonly encountered transfer function in electronic circuits

General first order transfer function.

## Step Response of first order circuits (1)

- Case 1: First order low pass transfer function

$$
H(s)=\frac{A_{0}}{1+\frac{s}{\omega_{p}}}
$$

$$
x_{i n}(t)=A_{i n} \cdot u(t) \quad \leftrightarrow \quad X_{i n}(s)=\frac{A_{i n}}{s}
$$

$$
X_{\text {out }}(s)=\frac{A_{\text {in }}}{s} \frac{A_{0}}{1+\frac{s}{\omega_{p}}}=A_{\text {in }} A_{0}\left[\frac{1}{s}-\frac{1}{s+\omega_{p}}\right]
$$

$$
\uparrow
$$

$$
x_{\text {out }}(t)=A_{\text {in }} A_{0} u(t)\left[1-e^{-t / \tau}\right] \text { with } \tau=1 / \omega_{p}
$$

## Step Response of first order circuits (2)

- Case 2: General first order transfer function

$$
H(s)=A_{0} \frac{1+\frac{s}{\omega_{z}}}{1+\frac{s}{\omega_{p}}}
$$

$$
\begin{gathered}
x_{\text {in }}(t)=A_{\text {in }} \cdot u(t) \quad X_{\text {in }}(s)=\frac{A_{\text {in }}}{S} \\
X_{\text {out }}(s)=\frac{A_{\text {in }} A_{0}}{s} \frac{1+\frac{s}{\omega_{z}}}{1+\frac{s}{\omega_{p}}} \\
\downarrow \\
x_{\text {out }}(t)=A_{\text {in }} A_{0} u(t)\left[1-\left(1-\frac{\omega_{p}}{\omega_{z}}\right) e^{-t / \tau}\right] \quad \text { where } \tau=1 / \omega_{p}
\end{gathered}
$$

## Step Response of first order circuits (3)

- Notice $\mathrm{x}_{\text {out }}(\mathrm{t})$ "short term" and "long term" behavior

$$
\begin{aligned}
& x_{\text {out }}(0+)=A_{\text {in }} A_{0} \frac{\omega_{p}}{\omega_{z}} \\
& x_{\text {out }}(\infty)=A_{\text {in }} A_{0}
\end{aligned}
$$

- The short term and long term behavior can also be verified using the Laplace transform

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left.x_{\text {out }}(0+)=\lim _{s \rightarrow \infty} s \cdot X_{\text {out }}(s)=\lim _{s \rightarrow \infty}\right) \frac{A_{\text {in }}}{X} \cdot H(s)=A_{\text {in }} A_{0} \frac{\omega_{p}}{\omega_{z}} \\
& x_{\text {out }}(\infty)=\lim _{s \rightarrow 0} s \cdot X_{\text {out }}(s)=\lim _{s \rightarrow 0} \$ \frac{A_{\text {in }}}{s} \cdot H(s)=A_{\text {in }} A_{0}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Equation for step response to any first order circuit

$$
x_{\text {out }}(t)=\underbrace{x_{\text {out }}(\infty)}_{\begin{array}{c}
\text { Steady } \\
\text { response }
\end{array}}-\underbrace{\left[x_{\text {out }}(\infty)-x_{\text {out }}(0+)\right] \cdot e^{-t / \tau}}_{\begin{array}{c}
\text { Transitory } \\
\text { response }
\end{array}} \text { where } \tau=1 / \omega_{p}
$$

## Example \#1



- $R=1 K \Omega, C=1 \mu F$.
- Input is a 0.5 V step at time 0

Source: Carusone, Johns and Martin

$$
\begin{aligned}
& H(s)=\frac{1}{1+s R C} \\
& \tau=R C=1 \mu s \Leftrightarrow \omega_{p}=\frac{1}{\tau}=1 \mathrm{Mrad} / \mathrm{s} \Leftrightarrow f_{-3 d B}=\frac{\omega_{p}}{2 \pi} \cong 159 \mathrm{KHz} \\
& V_{\text {out }}(t)=0.5 \cdot\left(1-e^{-t / \tau}\right) u(t)
\end{aligned}
$$

## Example \#2 (1)



- $\mathrm{R} 1=2 \mathrm{~K} \Omega, \mathrm{R} 2=10 \mathrm{~K} \Omega$
- C1=5pF. C2=10pF
- Input is a 2 V step at time 0

Source: Carusone, Johns and Martin

$$
\begin{aligned}
& H(s \rightarrow 0)=\frac{R_{2}}{R_{1}+R_{2}} \equiv A_{0} ; \quad H(s \rightarrow \infty)=\frac{C_{1}}{C_{1}+C_{2}} \equiv A_{\infty} \\
& \tau_{p}=\left(R_{1} \| R_{2}\right) \cdot\left(C_{1} \| C_{2}\right)=\frac{R_{1} R_{2}}{R_{1}+R_{2}}\left(C_{1}+C_{2}\right) \\
& H(s)=\frac{R_{2}}{R_{1}+R_{2}} \cdot\left[\frac{1+s R_{1} C_{1}}{1+s \frac{R_{1} R_{2}}{R_{1}+R_{2}}\left(C_{1}+C_{2}\right)}\right] \begin{array}{l}
\text { By inspect } \\
\tau_{z}=R_{1} C_{1}
\end{array}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Example \#2 (2)



## Example \#3

- Consider an amplifier having a small signal transfer function approximately given by

$$
A(s)=\frac{A_{0}}{1+\frac{s}{\omega_{p}}} \quad-\mathrm{A}_{0}=1 \times 10^{5}
$$

- Find approx. unity gain BW and phase shift at the unity gain frequency
since $A_{0} \gg 1$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& A(s) \approx \frac{A_{0}}{\frac{s}{\omega_{p}}}=\frac{A_{0} \omega_{p}}{s} \Longleftrightarrow A(j \omega) \approx \frac{A_{0} \omega_{p}}{j \omega} \\
& \left|\frac{A_{0} \omega_{p}}{j \omega_{u}}\right|=1 \Rightarrow \omega_{u} \cong A_{0} \omega_{p} \quad \operatorname{Phase}\left[A\left(j \omega_{u}\right)\right] \approx \operatorname{Phase}\left[\frac{A_{0} \omega_{p}}{j \omega_{u}}\right]=-90^{\circ}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Second-order low pass Transfer Function

$$
\begin{aligned}
& H(s)=\frac{a_{0}}{1+b_{1} s+b_{2} s^{2}}=\frac{a_{0}}{1+\frac{s}{\omega_{0} Q}+\frac{s^{2}}{\omega_{0}^{2}}} \\
& b_{1} \equiv \frac{1}{\omega_{0} Q} ; \quad b_{2} \equiv \frac{1}{\omega_{0}^{2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

- Interesting cases:
- Poles are real
- one of the poles is dominant $\longrightarrow \omega_{3 d B} \cong \frac{1}{b_{1}} \quad\left(b_{1}=\sum \tau_{j}\right)$
- Poles are complex


## Poles Location

- Roots of the denominator of the transfer function: $1+\frac{s}{\omega_{0} Q}+\frac{s^{2}}{\omega_{0}^{2}}=0$
- Complex Conjugate poles (overshooting in step response) for $Q>0.5 \Rightarrow p_{1,2}=-\frac{\omega_{0}}{2 Q}\left(1 \mp j \sqrt{4 Q^{2}-1}\right)=-\omega_{R} \mp j \omega_{I}$
- For $Q=0.707\left(\Phi=45^{\circ}\right)$, the -3 dB frequency is $\omega_{0}$ (Maximally Flat Magnitude or Butterworth Response)

- Real poles (no overshoot in the step response)

$$
\text { for } Q \leq 0.5 \Rightarrow p_{1,2}=-\frac{\omega_{0}}{2 Q}\left(1 \mp \sqrt{1-4 Q^{2}}\right)
$$

Frequency Response


## Step Response



- Ringing for $Q>0.5$
- The case $\mathrm{Q}=0.5$ is called maximally damped response (fastest settling without any overshoot)


## Widely- Spaced Real Poles

$$
H(s)=\frac{a_{0}}{1+b_{1} s+b_{2} s^{2}}=\frac{a_{0}}{1+\frac{s}{\omega_{0} Q}+\frac{s^{2}}{\omega_{0}^{2}}}
$$

$$
b_{1} \equiv \frac{1}{\omega_{0} Q} ; \quad b_{2} \equiv \frac{1}{\omega_{0}^{2}}
$$

Real poles occurs when $Q \leq 0.5$ :
for $Q \leq 0.5 \Rightarrow p_{1,2}=-\frac{\omega_{0}}{2 Q}\left(1 \mp \sqrt{1-4 Q^{2}}\right)$
Real poles widely-spaced (that is one of the poles is dominant) implies:
$p_{1} \equiv-\frac{\omega_{0}}{2 Q}-\frac{\omega_{0}}{2 Q} \sqrt{1-4 Q^{2}} \ll \quad p_{2} \equiv-\frac{\omega_{0}}{2 Q}+\frac{\omega_{0}}{2 Q} \sqrt{1-4 Q^{2}}$
I
$0 \ll 2 \sqrt{1-4 Q^{2}} \Leftrightarrow 0 \ll \sqrt{1-4 Q^{2}} \Leftrightarrow 0 \ll 1-4 Q^{2} \Leftrightarrow Q^{2} \ll \frac{1}{4} \Leftrightarrow \frac{b_{2}}{b_{1}^{2}} \ll \frac{1}{4}$

## Widely-Spaced Real Poles

$$
\begin{aligned}
& H(s)=\frac{a_{0}}{1+b_{1} s+b_{2} s^{2}}=\frac{a_{0}}{\left(1-\frac{s}{p_{1}}\right) \cdot\left(1-\frac{s}{p_{2}}\right)}=\frac{a_{0}}{1-\frac{s}{p_{1}}-\frac{s}{p_{2}}+\frac{s^{2}}{p_{1} p_{2}}} \cong \frac{a_{0}}{1-\frac{s}{p_{1}}+\frac{s^{2}}{p_{1} p_{2}}} \\
& \Rightarrow \quad \mathrm{p}_{1} \cong-\frac{1}{b_{1}} \quad \mathrm{p}_{2} \cong \frac{1}{p_{1} b_{2}}=-\frac{b_{1}}{b_{2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

This means that in order to estimate the -3 dB bandwidth of the circuit, all we need to know is $b_{1}$ !

$$
H(s) \cong \frac{a_{0}}{1-\frac{s}{p_{1}}} \quad \Rightarrow \omega_{-3 d B} \cong\left|p_{1}\right| \cong \frac{1}{b_{1}}
$$

ZVTC method: $\quad b_{1}=\sum \tau_{j}$

$$
\Rightarrow \omega_{-3 d B} \cong \frac{1}{b_{1}}=\frac{1}{\sum \tau_{j}}
$$

## Example: Series RLC circuit (1)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& v_{\text {in }}(t)=V_{I} u(t) \\
& \frac{V_{\text {out }}(s)}{V_{\text {in }}(s)}=\frac{\frac{1}{s C}}{R+s L+\frac{1}{s C}}=\frac{1}{1+s R C+s^{2} L C} \equiv \frac{1}{1+\frac{s}{\omega_{0} Q}+\frac{s^{2}}{\omega_{0}^{2}}} \\
& \omega_{0}^{2}=\frac{1}{L C} ; \quad Q=\frac{1}{\omega_{0} R C}=\frac{\sqrt{L / C}}{R} \equiv \frac{Z_{0}}{R}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Example - Series RLC: Poles location (2)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 1+\frac{s}{\omega_{0} Q}+\frac{s^{2}}{\omega_{0}^{2}}=0 \Leftrightarrow s^{2}+s \cdot \frac{\omega_{0}}{Q}+\omega_{0}^{2}=0 \Leftrightarrow s_{1,2}=\frac{-\frac{\omega_{0}}{Q} \mp \sqrt{\left(\frac{\omega_{0}}{Q}\right)^{2}-4 \omega_{0}^{2}}}{2} \Leftrightarrow \\
& \Leftrightarrow s_{1,2}=-\underbrace{\frac{\omega_{0}}{2 Q}}\left(1 \mp \sqrt{1-4 Q^{2}}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

- Two possible cases:
- For $Q \leq 1 / 2$ real poles:

$$
s_{1,2}=-\frac{\omega_{0}}{2 Q}\left(1 \mp \sqrt{1-4 Q^{2}}\right) \equiv-\alpha\left(1 \mp \sqrt{1-4 Q^{2}}\right)
$$

- For $Q>1 / 2$ complex poles

$$
s_{1,2}=-\frac{\omega_{0}}{2 Q}\left(1 \mp j \sqrt{4 Q^{2}-1}\right) \equiv-\alpha\left(1 \mp j \sqrt{4 Q^{2}-1}\right)
$$

## Example - series RLC

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{V_{\text {out }}(s)}{V_{\text {in }}(s)}=\frac{\frac{1}{s C}}{R+s L+\frac{1}{s C}} & =\frac{1}{1+s R C+s^{2} L C} \equiv \frac{1}{1+\frac{s}{Q \omega_{0}}+\frac{s^{2}}{\omega_{0}^{2}}} \\
\omega_{0}^{2}=\frac{1}{L C} ; \quad Q=\frac{1}{\omega_{0} R C} & =\frac{\sqrt{L / C}}{R} \equiv \frac{Z_{0}}{R} ; \quad \frac{\omega_{0}}{2 Q} \equiv \alpha \\
C & =\frac{1}{L \cdot \omega_{0}^{2}} ; L=\frac{1}{C \cdot \omega_{0}^{2}} \\
Q & =\frac{1}{R C \omega_{0}}=\frac{L}{R} \omega_{0} \\
\alpha & =\frac{\omega_{0}}{2 Q}=\frac{R}{2 L}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Example - series RLC

$$
s^{2}+s \cdot \frac{\omega_{0}}{Q}+\omega_{0}^{2}=0 \Leftrightarrow s^{2}+s \cdot 2 \alpha+\omega_{0}^{2}=0 \Leftrightarrow s_{1,2}=-\alpha \mp \sqrt{\alpha^{2}-\omega_{0}^{2}}
$$

- For $Q \leq 1 / 2$ real poles:

$$
s_{1,2}=-\alpha \mp \sqrt{\alpha^{2}-\omega_{0}^{2}} \quad\left(\text { for } \frac{\omega_{0}}{\alpha} \ll 1 \text { the poles are widely spaced }\right)
$$

- For $Q>1 / 2$ complex poles

$$
\begin{aligned}
& s_{1,2}=-\alpha \mp j \sqrt{\omega_{0}^{2}-\alpha^{2}}=-\alpha \mp j \omega_{n} \\
& \omega_{\mathrm{n}}=\text { natural (damped) frequency } \\
& \omega_{0}=\text { resonant frequency }
\end{aligned}
$$



## Example - series RLC: Step response


(a) Overdamped $Q<0.5 \quad\left(\alpha>\omega_{0} \Leftrightarrow \zeta \equiv \alpha / \omega_{0}>1\right)$
(b) Critically damped $\mathrm{Q}=0.5\left(\alpha=\omega_{0} \Leftrightarrow \zeta \equiv \alpha / \omega_{0}=1\right)$
(c) Underdamped $\mathrm{Q}>0.5 \quad\left(\alpha<\omega_{0} \Leftrightarrow \zeta \equiv \alpha / \omega_{0}<1\right) \quad \omega_{\mathrm{n}}=$ ringing frequency
$\alpha$ = damping factor (rate of decay)
$\omega_{0}=$ resonance frequency
$\zeta=$ Damping ratio

## Example - RLC series: Quality Factor Q

For a system under sinusoidal excitation at a frequency $\omega$, the most fundamental definition for $Q$ is:

$$
Q=\omega \frac{\text { energystored }}{\text { average power dissipated }}
$$



At the resonant frequency $\omega_{0}$, the current through the network is simply $V_{i n} / R$. Energy in such a network sloshes back and forth between the inductance and the inductor, with a constant sum. The peak inductor current at resonance is $\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{pk}}=\mathrm{V}_{\text {in }} / \mathrm{R}$, so the energy stored by the network can be computed as:

$$
E_{\text {stored }}=\frac{1}{2} L \cdot I_{p k}^{2}
$$

The average power dissipated in the resistor at resonance is: $\quad P_{\text {avg }}=\frac{1}{2} R \cdot I_{p k}^{2}$

$$
Q=\omega_{0} \frac{E_{\text {stored }}}{P_{\text {avg }}}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{L C}} \cdot \frac{L}{R}=\frac{\sqrt{L / C}}{R}=\frac{Z_{0}}{R}
$$

$Z_{0}=$ Characteristic impedance of the network
At resonance $\left|\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{C}}\right|=\left(\omega_{0} \mathrm{C}\right)^{-1}=\left|\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{L}}\right|=\omega_{0} \mathrm{~L}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{L C}} \mathrm{~L}=\sqrt{L / C} \equiv Z_{0}$

## Example - series RLC: Ringing and Q

Since $Q$ is a measure of the rate of energy loss, one expect a higher $Q$ to be associated with more persistent ringing than a lower Q.

$$
-\omega_{\mathrm{p} 2} \times
$$



$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\mathrm{Q}=0.5 & \rightarrow \Phi=0^{\circ} \\
\mathrm{Q}=0.707 & \rightarrow \Phi=45^{\circ} \\
\mathrm{Q}=1 & \rightarrow \Phi=60^{\circ} \\
\mathrm{Q}=10 & \rightarrow \Phi \approx 87^{\circ}
\end{array}
$$

Ringing doesn't last long and its excursion is small

The decaying envelope is proportional to:

$$
e^{-\alpha t}=e^{-\frac{\omega_{0} t}{2 Q}}
$$



Rule of thumb: $Q$ is roughly equal to the number of cycles of ringing.
Frequency of the ringing oscillations: $1 / f_{n}=T_{n}=2 \pi / \omega_{n}$

## Example - RLC series by intuition (1)

- We can predict the behavior of the circuit without solving pages of differential equations or Laplace transforms. All we need to know is the characteristics equation (denominator of $\mathrm{H}(\mathrm{s})$ ) and the initial conditions

- The voltage across the capacitor cannot jump: $v(0+)=v(0)=+V_{0}$
- The current through the inductor cannot jump: $i(0+)=i(0)=-I_{0}$
- The output voltage starts at $\mathrm{V}_{0}$, it ends at $\mathrm{v}(\infty)=\mathrm{V}_{1}$ and it rings about Q times before settling at $V_{\text {। }}$


## Example - RLC series using intuition (2)

- The only question left is to decide if $\mathrm{v}(\mathrm{t})$ will start off shooting down or up ?
- But, ... we know that $\mathrm{i}\left(0^{+}\right)$is negative $\rightarrow$ this means the current flows from the capacitor toward the inductor $\rightarrow$ which means the capacitor must be discharging $\rightarrow$ the voltage across the capacitor must be dropping



## Example - RLC series using intuition (3)

- In practice for the under damped case it useful to compute two parameters
- Overshoot
- Settling time

$$
O S=\exp \left(\frac{-\pi}{\omega_{n}} \alpha\right) \quad \longleftarrow \quad \begin{aligned}
& \text { Normalized overshoot }= \\
& \% \text { overshoot w.r.t. final value }
\end{aligned}
$$

$t_{s} \cong-\frac{1}{\alpha} \ln \left(\varepsilon \frac{\omega_{n}}{\omega_{0}}\right)$
$\varepsilon$ is the \% error that we are willing to tolerate w.r.t. the ideal final value

## First order vs. Second order circuits Behavior

- First order circuits introduce exponential behavior
- Second order circuits introduce sinusoidal and exponential behavior combined
- Fortunately we will not need to go on analyzing $3^{\text {rd }}, 4^{\text {th }}, 5^{\text {th }}$ and so on circuits because they are not going to introduce fundamentally new behavior

